

Math 1090 A Homework 3 due November 1 at Noon

1. (a) As (3.83) is an Axiom, it is permissible to use it in proofs of theorems with lower numbers. Using (3.83), prove each of (3.82)(b) and (3.82)(c).

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \equiv q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r) \\ = & \langle (3.65) \rangle \\ & (p \equiv q) \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)) . \end{aligned}$$

For (3.82)(b) it suffices to prove $\vdash (p \equiv q) \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r))$. Recall that the proof in class of the extended method of Section 4.1 did not use (3.82).

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \equiv q) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (3.83) \rangle \\ & (q \Rightarrow r) \equiv (p \Rightarrow r) \\ = & \langle (3.80) \rangle \\ & ((q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)) \wedge ((p \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (3.76)(b) \rangle \\ & ((q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \equiv r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r) \\ = & \langle (3.36), (3.65) \rangle \\ & (q \equiv r) \Rightarrow ((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)) . \end{aligned}$$

For (3.82)(c) it suffices to prove $\vdash (q \equiv r) \Rightarrow ((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r))$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (q \equiv r) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (3.83) \rangle \\ & (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv (p \Rightarrow r) \\ = & \langle (3.80) \rangle \\ & ((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)) \wedge ((p \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow q)) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (3.76)(b) \rangle \\ & ((p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r)) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Prove carefully that,

$$\vdash (x = y) \Rightarrow ((y = 1) \wedge (x = 2) \Rightarrow (1 = 2)) .$$

Use Chapter 3 methods only.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} & (x = y) \Rightarrow ((y = 1) \wedge (x = 2) \Rightarrow (1 = 2)) \\ = & \langle (3.84)(a) \rangle \\ & (x = y) \Rightarrow ((x = 1) \wedge (x = 2) \Rightarrow (1 = 2)) \\ = & \langle (3.84)(c) \rangle \\ & (x = y) \Rightarrow ((x = 1) \wedge (x = 2) \Rightarrow (x = 2)) \\ = & \langle (3.76)(b), \text{ if } \vdash P \text{ then } \vdash P \equiv \text{true} \rangle \\ & (x = y) \Rightarrow \text{true} \\ = & \langle (3.72) \rangle \\ & \text{true} \end{aligned}$$

2. Using the Deduction Theorem and the method of Section 4.1 prove that,

$$\vdash (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow (p \wedge r \Rightarrow q \wedge s) .$$

Answer: Assume $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s)$ from which one obtains temporary theorems, $p \Rightarrow q$ and $p \wedge q \equiv p$, $r \Rightarrow s$ and $r \wedge s \equiv r$.

$$\begin{aligned} & p \wedge r \Rightarrow q \wedge s \\ = & \langle \text{Temporary theorems} \rangle \\ & p \wedge q \wedge r \wedge s \Rightarrow q \wedge s \\ = & \langle (3.36), (3.37) \rangle \\ & (p \wedge r) \wedge (q \wedge s) \Rightarrow q \wedge s . \end{aligned}$$

3. Note that Case Analysis is the Inference Rule,

$$\frac{\vdash P \Rightarrow Q, \vdash R \Rightarrow Q}{\vdash P \vee R \Rightarrow Q} .$$

Using the Deduction Theorem and Case Analysis prove that,

$$\vdash (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow (p \vee r \Rightarrow q \vee s) .$$

You may use other Chapter 4 methods as you see fit in your proof.

Answer: Assume $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (r \Rightarrow s)$ from which one obtains temporary theorems, $p \Rightarrow q$ and $r \Rightarrow s$.

We need to prove $p \vee r \Rightarrow q \vee s$. By Case Analysis it suffices to prove $p \Rightarrow q \vee s$ and $r \Rightarrow q \vee s$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & p \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \text{Temporary theorem} \rangle \\ & q \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (3.76)(a) \rangle \\ & q \vee s \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & r \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \text{Temporary theorem} \rangle \\ & s \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (3.76)(a) \rangle \\ & q \vee s . \end{aligned}$$

4. Determine whether each of the following is a theorem. If yes, give a proof. If no, give an interpretation for which it is false.

Note that the variable x is of type \mathbb{N} and 0, 1, and 5 are constant symbols with $0 \neq 1$, etc.

- (a) $(\forall x | (x = 0) \wedge (x = 1) : x^2 = 5)$.

Answer: This is a theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall x | (x = 0) \wedge (x = 1) : x^2 = 5) \\ = & \langle (3.84)(a) \rangle \\ & (\forall x | (x = 0) \wedge (0 = 1) : x^2 = 5) \\ = & \langle \text{Arithmetic, i.e., } \vdash 0 \neq 1, \text{ if } \vdash \neg P \text{ then } \vdash P \equiv \text{false} \rangle \\ & (\forall x | (x = 0) \wedge \text{false} : x^2 = 5) \\ = & \langle (3.40) \rangle \\ & (\forall x | \text{false} : x^2 = 5) \\ = & \langle (8.13) \rangle \\ & \text{true} . \end{aligned}$$

- (b) $(\exists x | (x = 0) \wedge (x = 1) : x^2 = 5)$.

Answer: This is not a theorem. Take as Universe of Discourse $\{0, 1, 5\}$.

When x is 0, $x = 0 \wedge x = 1$ is f and when x is 1, $x = 0 \wedge x = 1$ is f and when x is 5, $x = 0 \wedge x = 1$ is f .

There are no values satisfying the range condition for which $x^2 = 5$ is t .

- (c) $(\forall x | (x = 0) \vee (x = 1) : x^2 = 1)$.

Answer: This is not a theorem. Take as Universe of Discourse $\{0, 1\}$.

When x is 0, $x = 0 \vee x = 1$ is t but $x^2 = 1$ is f .

(d) $(\exists x | (x = 0) \vee (x = 1) : x^2 = 1)$.

Answer: This is a theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists x | (x = 0) \vee (x = 1) : x^2 = 1) \\ = & \langle (8.18) \rangle \\ & (\exists x | x = 0 : x^2 = 1) \vee (\exists x | x = 1 : x^2 = 1) \\ = & \langle (8.14), x \text{ d.n.o.f. } 0, 1 \rangle \\ & 0^2 = 1 \vee 1^2 = 1 \\ = & \langle \text{Arithmetic, i.e., } \vdash 1^2 = 1, \text{ if } \vdash P \text{ then } \vdash P \equiv \textit{true} \rangle \\ & 0^2 = 1 \vee \textit{true} \\ = & \langle (3.29) \rangle \\ & \textit{true} \end{aligned}$$

(e) $(\forall x | (x = y) \vee (y = 5) : (x = 5) \wedge (y = 5))$.

Answer: This is not a theorem.

Take as Universe of Discourse $\{0, 5\}$. Assign 0 to the free occurrences of y .

The range $(x = y) \vee (y = 5)$ is t only when x is 0 but when x is 0, $(x = 5) \wedge (y = 5)$ is f .

(f) $(\exists x | (x = y) \vee (y = 5) : (x = 5) \vee (y = 5))$.

Answer: This is not a theorem.

Take as Universe of Discourse $\{0, 5\}$. Assign 0 to the free occurrences of y .

The range $(x = y) \vee (y = 5)$ is t only when x is 0 but when x is 0, $(x = 5) \wedge (y = 5)$ is f .