

York University

Faculty of Arts, Faculty of Science

Math 1090

Class Test 1

SOLUTIONS

Instructions:

1. Time allowed: 50 minutes
2. There are 5 questions on 5 pages.
3. Answer all questions.
4. Your work must justify the answer you give.

Question	Points	Marks
1	4	
2	7	
3	7	
4	5	
5	7	
Total	30	

1. One of the following is a theorem and one of the following is not a theorem. Determine **which one is not a theorem** and justify your answer completely.

(a) $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (p \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow q \vee r)$.

(b) $(p \Rightarrow q \vee r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (p \Rightarrow r)$.

Answer:

(b) is not a theorem. Our logic is sound. Every theorem must interpret as a tautology (valid formula).

If p is in state t , q is in state t and r is in state f , the expression $(p \Rightarrow q \vee r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (p \Rightarrow r)$ is in state f .

2. Prove (3.44)(a),

$$\vdash p \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \equiv p \wedge q .$$

You may only use Axioms and Theorems numbered (3.43) or below.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \\
 = & \langle (3.35) \rangle \\
 & p \equiv \neg p \vee q \equiv p \vee \neg p \vee q \\
 = & \langle (3.28), \text{Metatheorem, If } \vdash P, \text{ then } \vdash P \equiv \textit{true} \rangle \\
 & p \equiv \neg p \vee q \equiv \textit{true} \vee q \\
 = & \langle (3.29) \rangle \\
 & p \equiv \neg p \vee q \equiv \textit{true} \\
 = & \langle (3.3) \rangle \\
 & p \equiv \neg p \vee q \\
 = & \langle (3.24), (3.32), (3.24) \rangle \\
 & p \equiv q \equiv p \vee q \\
 = & \langle (3.35) \rangle \\
 & p \wedge q
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Prove (3.62),

$$\vdash p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r .$$

You may only use Axioms and Theorems numbered (3.61) or below.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} & p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \\ = & \langle (3.60) \rangle \\ & p \wedge (q \equiv r) \equiv p \\ = & \langle (3.49) \rangle \\ & p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \equiv p \equiv p \\ = & \langle (3.3) \rangle \\ & p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \equiv \text{true} \\ = & \langle (3.3) \rangle \\ & p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r \end{aligned}$$

4. Give the reasons, including **details of any Inference Rules used**, which justify each step in this proof of the Derived Inference Rule

$$\frac{\vdash P \equiv Q, \vdash Q \Rightarrow R}{\vdash P \Rightarrow R} .$$

Since $\vdash P \equiv Q$, it follows that $\vdash P \Rightarrow R \equiv Q \Rightarrow R$. The reason for this is

Answer: Leibniz with E being $r \Rightarrow R$.

But $\vdash Q \Rightarrow R$. Then it follows that $\vdash P \Rightarrow R$. The reason for this is

Answer: Equanimity.

5. Prove this version of (3.82)(b),

$$\vdash (p \equiv q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r) .$$

You may only use Axioms and Theorems numbered (3.81) or below.

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \equiv q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow (p \Rightarrow r) \\ = & \langle (3.65), (3.36) \rangle \\ & p \wedge (p \equiv q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow r \\ = & \langle (3.2), (3.50) \rangle \\ & p \wedge q \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) \Rightarrow r \\ = & \langle (3.66) \rangle \\ & p \wedge q \wedge r \Rightarrow r . \end{aligned}$$

The end