

York University

Faculty of Arts, Faculty of Science. Atkinson College

Math 2090

Class Test 2

SOLUTIONS

Instructions:

1. Time allowed : 75 minutes.
2. There are 4 questions on 5 pages.
3. Answer all questions.
4. Prove, means prove using the proof methods and proof format of the text.
If your reason is not a theorem on the list provided, or another question on this test, you must provide its proof.
5. Your reasons must indicate that you have verified any conditions concerning free occurrences of variables.

Question	Points	Marks
1	8	
2	8	
3	10	
4	14	
Total	40	

1. (8 points) Prove that,

$$\vdash S \cap T \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \neq \emptyset .$$

Answer: Take z, w to be fresh variables.

$$\begin{aligned} S \cap T \neq \emptyset &\Rightarrow (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \neq \emptyset \\ &= \langle \text{Property of } \emptyset \rangle \\ (\exists z \mid : z \in S \cap T) &\Rightarrow (S \times T) \cap ((T \times S)) \neq \emptyset . \end{aligned}$$

By (9.30) it suffices to prove that, $\vdash w \in S \cap T \Rightarrow (S \times T) \cap ((T \times S)) \neq \emptyset$.
Assume $w \in S \cap T$.

$$\begin{aligned} &(S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \neq \emptyset \\ &= \langle \text{Property of } \emptyset \rangle \\ &(\exists z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S)) \\ &= \langle (9.17), (3.15) \rangle \\ &\neg(\forall z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false}) \\ &= \langle (9.13), (3.60) \rangle \\ &\neg((\forall z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false}) \wedge (\langle w, w \rangle \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false})) \\ &= \langle (11.21) \rangle \\ &\neg((\forall z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false}) \wedge (\langle w, w \rangle \in (S \times T) \wedge \langle w, w \rangle \in (T \times S) \equiv \text{false})) \\ &= \langle (14.4) \rangle \\ &\neg((\forall z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false}) \wedge (w \in S \wedge w \in T \wedge w \in T \wedge w \in S \equiv \text{false})) \\ &= \langle (3.38) \rangle \\ &\neg((\forall z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false}) \wedge (w \in S \wedge w \in T \equiv \text{false})) \\ &= \langle \text{Temporary theorem, } w \in S \wedge w \in T \rangle \\ &\neg((\forall z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false}) \wedge (\text{true} \equiv \text{false})) \\ &= \langle (3.3) \rangle \\ &\neg((\forall z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false}) \wedge \text{false}) \\ &= \langle (3.47)(a) \rangle \\ &\neg(\forall z \mid : z \in (S \times T) \cap (T \times S) \equiv \text{false}) \vee \text{true} \\ &= \langle (3.29) \rangle \\ &\text{true} . \end{aligned}$$

2. (8 points) Prove that for ρ a relation and σ a relation,

$$\vdash \text{Ran.}(\rho \circ \sigma) \subseteq \text{Ran.}\sigma .$$

Answer: Take x, y, z to be fresh variables.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ran.}(\rho \circ \sigma) \subseteq \text{Ran.}\sigma \\ = & \langle (11.3) \rangle \\ & (\forall y \mid y \in \text{Ran.}(\rho \circ \sigma) : y \in \text{Ran.}\sigma) \\ = & \langle (9.2) \rangle \\ & (\forall y \mid : y \in \text{Ran.}(\rho \circ \sigma) \Rightarrow y \in \text{Ran.}\sigma) . \end{aligned}$$

By (9.16) it suffices to prove that, $\vdash y \in \text{Ran.}(\rho \circ \sigma) \Rightarrow y \in \text{Ran.}\sigma$.

$$\begin{aligned} & y \in \text{Ran.}(\rho \circ \sigma) \\ = & \langle (14.17) \rangle \\ & (\exists x \mid : \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho \circ \sigma) \\ = & \langle (14.20), z \text{ d.n.o.f. } \rho, \sigma \rangle \\ & (\exists x \mid : (\exists z \mid : \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \sigma)) \\ = & \langle (8.19), x \text{ d.n.o.f. } \text{true}, z \text{ d.n.o.f. } \text{true} \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : (\exists x \mid : \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \sigma)) \\ = & \langle (9.21) \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : (\exists x \mid : \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho) \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \sigma) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (9.26)' \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : \langle z, y \rangle \in \sigma) \\ = & \langle (14.17), z \text{ d.n.o.f. } \sigma \rangle \\ & y \in \text{Ran.}\sigma . \end{aligned}$$

3. (10 points) Use Mathematical Induction to prove that,

$$\vdash \rho^2 \subseteq \rho \Rightarrow (\forall n \mid n \geq 1 : \rho^n \subseteq \rho) .$$

Answer: Assume $\rho^2 \subseteq \rho$. We need to prove $(\forall n \mid n \geq 1 : \rho^n \subseteq \rho)$.
The base case is when $n = 1$, i.e., $\rho^1 \subseteq \rho$.

Take z to be a fresh variable.

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^1 \subseteq \rho \\ = & \langle (14.25) \rangle \\ & \rho \subseteq \rho \\ = & \langle (11.13) \rangle \\ & (\forall z \mid z \in \rho : z \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (9.2) \rangle \\ & (\forall z \mid : z \in \rho \Rightarrow z \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (3.71) \rangle \\ & (\forall z \mid : true) \\ = & \langle (9.8) \rangle \\ & true . \end{aligned}$$

Take x, y to be fresh variables. Assume $n \geq 1 \Rightarrow \rho^n \subseteq \rho$. We need to prove $n \geq 1 \Rightarrow \rho^{n+1} \subseteq \rho$.
Assume $n \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^{n+1} \subseteq \rho \\ = & \langle (14.25) \rangle \\ & \rho^n \circ \rho \subseteq \rho \\ = & \langle (11.13) \rangle \\ & (\forall z \mid z \in \rho^n \circ \rho : z \in \rho) \\ = & \langle 14 \text{ RP, (9.2) for multiple quantifications} \rangle \\ & (\forall x, y \mid : \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho^n \circ \rho \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (14.20) \rangle \\ & (\forall x, y \mid : (\exists z \mid \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho^n \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho) . \end{aligned}$$

Observe that x, y do not occur free in the Assumption.

By (9.16) it suffices to prove $(\exists z \mid : \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho^n \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists z \mid : \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho^n \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \text{Temporary theorem, } \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho^n \Rightarrow \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho, (9.27), (9.16), \text{Modus Ponens} \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : \langle x, z \rangle \in \rho \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (14.20), (14.25) \rangle \\ & \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho^2 \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \text{Temporary theorem, } \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho^2 \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho \rangle \\ & \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho . \end{aligned}$$

4. (a) (6 points) Prove that,

$$\vdash \rho \subseteq X \times Y \Rightarrow (\langle x, y \rangle \in \rho \Rightarrow x \in X) .$$

Answer: Take z, u, v to be fresh variables.

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \subseteq X \times Y \\ = & \langle (11.13) \rangle \\ & (\forall z | z \in \rho : z \in X \times Y) \\ = & \langle 14 \text{ RP} \rangle \\ & (\forall u, v | \langle u, v \rangle \in \rho : \langle u, v \rangle \in X \times Y) \\ = & \langle (14.4) \rangle \\ & (\forall u, v | \langle u, v \rangle \in \rho : u \in X \wedge v \in Y) \\ = & \langle (9.2) \text{ for multiple quantifications} \rangle \\ & (\forall u, v | : \langle u, v \rangle \in \rho \Rightarrow u \in X \wedge v \in Y) \\ = & \langle (8.20), v \text{ d.n.o.f. } true \rangle \\ & (\forall u | : (\forall v | : \langle u, v \rangle \in \rho \Rightarrow u \in X \wedge v \in Y)) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (9.13), v \text{ d.n.o.f. } x \rangle \\ & (\forall v | : \langle x, v \rangle \in \rho \Rightarrow x \in X \wedge v \in Y) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (9.13) \rangle \\ & \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho \Rightarrow x \in X \wedge y \in Y \\ \Rightarrow & \langle \vdash (P \Rightarrow Q \wedge R) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q) \text{ via (3.59), (3.76)(d)} \rangle \\ & \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho \Rightarrow x \in X . \end{aligned}$$

(b) (8 points) Prove that,

$$\vdash \rho \subseteq X \times Y \wedge \iota = \{w \mid w \in X : \langle w, w \rangle\} \Rightarrow \iota \circ \rho = \rho .$$

Answer: Take x, y, z to be fresh variables. Assume $\rho \subseteq X \times Y \wedge \iota = \{w \mid w \in X : \langle w, w \rangle\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota \circ \rho = \rho \\ = & \langle 14 \text{ RE} \rangle \\ & (\forall x, y \mid \langle x, y \rangle \in \iota \circ \rho \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho) . \end{aligned}$$

By (9.16) for multiple quantifications, it suffices to prove that, $\vdash \langle x, y \rangle \in \iota \circ \rho \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x, y \rangle \in \iota \circ \rho \\ = & \langle (14.20), z \text{ d.n.o.f. } \iota, \rho \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : \langle x, z \rangle \in \iota \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ = & \langle \text{Assumption, (11.3)} \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : (\exists w \mid w \in X : \langle x, z \rangle = \langle w, w \rangle) \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (14.4) \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : (\exists w \mid w \in X : x = w \wedge z = w) \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (9.19) \text{ twice} \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : (\exists w \mid w = z : w \in X \wedge x = w) \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (8.14), w \text{ d.n.o.f. } z \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid : z \in X \wedge x = z \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (9.19) \rangle \\ & (\exists z \mid z = x : z \in X \wedge \langle z, y \rangle \in \rho) \\ = & \langle (8.14), z \text{ d.n.o.f. } x \rangle \\ & x \in X \wedge \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho \\ = & \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \text{Temporary theorem, } \rho \subseteq X \times Y, \text{ gives by (a),} \\ \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho \Rightarrow x \in X, (3.60) \end{array} \right\rangle \\ & \langle x, y \rangle \in \rho . \end{aligned}$$

The end