

**WOODIN'S PROOF THAT NS_{ω_1} SATURATED
ALMOST IMPLIES CH FAILS**

ILIJAS FARAH

This seminar note presents Woodin's proof of Theorem 1 as given in [3, §3.1] with some details added. It contains nothing new. Many of the lemmas—but not Theorem 13, and typically not Theorem 1 either, remain true when saturatedness is weakened to presaturatedness or when NS_{ω_1} is replaced with some normal ideal. Most of the results are given in [2] in a greater generality.

Let NS_{ω_1} be the ideal of nonstationary subsets of ω_1 . It is *saturated* if every antichain in $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{NS}_{\omega_1}$ has size at most \aleph_1 .

Theorem 1 (Woodin). *Assume NS_{ω_1} is saturated and there exists a measurable cardinal. Then CH fails.*

Proof. Theorem follows immediately from lemmas 2, 3 and 4 below. □

In [3, §3.1] Woodin proved a stronger conclusion, that $\delta_2^1 = \omega_2$. The argument that in the presence of sharps the assumption of Lemma 4 implies $u_2 = \omega_2$ is given in [3]. The argument that, again in the presence of sharps, $u_2 = \delta_2^1$ is given in [4].

Terminology. Let ZFC^0 be a large enough fragment of ZFC that holds in $H(\theta)$ for a regular $\theta \geq \aleph_2$ (the precise definition will not be needed here; see [2, §1]). A *ctm* is a countable transitive model of ZFC^0 . If N is a transitive model of ZFC^0 and $G \subseteq (\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+)^N$ is N -generic ultrafilter, then in $N[G]$ one defines the *generic ultrapower* as follows (see [1, p. 420] for or [2, §1] details). On the structure $({}^{\omega_1}N) = \{f \in N \mid N \models f \text{ is a function and } \text{dom}(f) = \omega_1\}$ define relations \sim_G and \in_G via

$$\begin{aligned} f \sim_G g &\leftrightarrow \{\xi < \omega_1^N \mid f(\xi) = g(\xi)\} \in G \\ f \in_G g &\leftrightarrow \{\xi < \omega_1^N \mid f(\xi) \in g(\xi)\} \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Then the generic ultrapower N^* is the set of all \sim_G -equivalence classes with respect to \in_G . The equivalence class of f is denoted by $[f]_G$. For $a \in N$ let f_a be the function mapping each $\xi \in \omega_1$ to a . The proof of Łos's theorem for ultraproducts gives that the mapping $j: N \rightarrow N^*$ defined by $j(a) = [f_a]_G$ is an elementary embedding. In the case when N^* is well-founded we shall identify N^* with its transitive collapse and j with the corresponding embedding.

If N is a transitive model of ZFC^0 then a γ -iteration of N is $(N_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \gamma)$ where $j_{\xi\eta}: N_\xi \rightarrow N_\eta$ is a commuting family of elementary embeddings, $G_\eta \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{NS}_{\omega_1})^{N_\eta}$ is a generic filter, $N_{\eta+1}$ is the transitive collapse of the generic ultrapower, and $j_{\eta\eta+1}$ is the corresponding generic ultrapower embedding, and for a limit η and $\xi < \eta$ $j_{\xi\eta}$ and N_η are the transitive collapse of the direct limit

Date: September 12, 2005. Version of October 29, 2005.
Partially supported by NSERC.
Filename: 2005i12.tex.

of $j_{\xi\zeta}$ and N_ζ for $\xi < \zeta < \eta$. (This is different from the standard definition which allows ill-founded models in iterations. This way we avoid having to define $N[G]$ for ill-founded N at the expense of creating other technical problems. What matters is that our definition of ‘ M is iterable’ agrees with the standard one.) An iteration $(M_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta < \alpha)$ is *well-founded* if its direct limit M_α is well-founded. A model M is γ -*iterable* if it has iterations of length γ and each of its γ -iterations is well-founded. Since $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ collapses ω_1 , each iteration has length at most ω_1 and we say M is *iterable* if it is ω_1 -iterable. Note that a sufficient condition for the existence of a (possibly ill-founded) 1-iteration of a transitive model M of ZFC^0 is that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\omega_1))^M$ is countable.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the set

$$D_x = \{\alpha < \omega_1 \mid L_\alpha[x] \prec L_{\omega_1}[x]\}$$

is a club. If M is a ctm then it is coded by a real so D_M has the obvious meaning.

Lemma 2. *For every iterable M , every $C \in M$ such that $M \models ‘C \subseteq \omega_1$ is a club,’ and every ω_1 -iteration $j: M \rightarrow M_{\omega_1}$ we have $D_M \subseteq j(C)$.*

Lemma 3. *If for every club $C \subseteq \omega_1$ there is a real x such that $D_x \subseteq C$ then CH fails.*

Lemma 4. *Assume NS_{ω_1} is saturated and there is a measurable cardinal. Then for every $A \subseteq \omega_1$ there is an iterable M and $a \in M$ such that for some iteration $j: M \rightarrow M_{\omega_1}$ we have $j(a) = A$.*

Proof of Lemma 3. Since every ω_1 -sequence of clubs can be diagonalized by a club, there are more than \aleph_1 reals. \square

Proof of Lemma 2. First note that for every iteration $(M_\alpha, G_\alpha, j_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1)$ and every club $C \in M_0$ we have $\omega_1^{M_\alpha} \in j_{0\alpha}(C)$ for all α .

Fix M and $C \in M$ as in the statement of Lemma. Also let α be a countable ordinal. The set

$$X = \{x \mid x \text{ codes } \omega_1^{M_\alpha} \text{ for the final model of some } \alpha\text{-iteration of } M\}$$

is analytic. This is because $x \in X$ if and only if there is a sequence $(M_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha)$ such that $M_0 = M$, each $G_\xi \subseteq \text{NS}_{\omega_1}^{M_\xi}$ is M_ξ -generic, $j_{\xi\eta}$ are generic ultra-power maps, and x codes $\omega_1^{M_\alpha}$. Since M is iterable, X is included in the complete coanalytic set $\{x \mid x \text{ codes a countable well-ordering}\}$. By the Boundedness Lemma $g_M(\alpha) = \sup\{\omega_1^N \mid N \in X\}$ is a countable ordinal. For each η closed under g_{M_0} and every iteration $(M_\alpha, G_\alpha, j_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1)$ we have $j_{0\eta}(\omega_1^{M_0}) = \eta$, thus $\eta \in j_{0\omega_1}(C)$ for every club C in M_0 .

We have $g_M \in L[M]$, and also $g_M \upharpoonright \alpha \in L_{\omega_1}[M]$ since all the reals of $L[M]$ are in $L_{\omega_1}[M]$. Thus every $\eta \in D_M$ is closed under g_M and therefore belongs to $j(C)$. \square

Proof of Lemma 4. Let $<_w$ be a fixed well-ordering of $H(\aleph_2)$.

Lemma 5. *Assume NS_{ω_1} is saturated, $M \prec (H(\aleph_2), <_w)$ is countable, and N is the Skolem hull in $(H(\aleph_2), <_w)$ of $M \cup \{M \cap \omega_1\}$. Then the transitive collapse of N is a 1-iteration of the transitive collapse of M via $G = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M \mid M \cap \omega_1 \in A\}$.*

Proof. We first prove G is M -generic. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ be a maximal antichain in M , enumerated as A_α ($\alpha < \omega_1$). Then $C = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} (A_\alpha \setminus (\alpha + 1))$ includes a club, and therefore $\nu = M \cap \omega_1 \in C$. This implies ν belongs to $\bigcup_{\alpha < \nu} A_\alpha$, which is $M \cap \mathcal{A}$.

Now we check N is the generic ultrapower. Since $<_w$ provides definable Skolem functions, $N = \{f(\nu) \mid f: \omega_1 \rightarrow M, f \in M\}$. Let $X = \{f \mid f: \omega_1 \rightarrow M, f \in M\}$. Then the generic ultrapower, M^* , is equal to $(\{[f]_G \mid f \in X\}, \epsilon)$, where $[f]_G =_G [g]_G$ if and only if $A = \{\xi \mid f(\xi) = g(\xi)\} \in G$ and $[f]_G \epsilon_G [g]_G$ if and only if $B = \{\xi \mid f(\xi) \in g(\xi)\} \in G$. But by elementarity $A \in G$ if and only if $f(\nu) = g(\nu)$ and $B \in G$ if and only if $f(\nu) \in g(\nu)$. Therefore the map $[f]_G \mapsto f(\nu)$ is an isomorphism from M^* onto N . \square

The proof of Lemma 5 included verification of the following fact worth recording.

Lemma 6. *If NS_{ω_1} is saturated in M and $G \subseteq (\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+)^M$ is M -generic then the generic ultrapower is equal to $\{(jf)(\omega_1^M) \mid f: \omega_1 \rightarrow M, f \in M\}$.* \square

Lemma 7. *Assume NS_{ω_1} is saturated. Then for every countable $M \prec H(\aleph_2)$ there is an ω_1 -iteration of its transitive collapse \bar{M} such that $j(\bar{A}) = A$ for all $A \subseteq \omega_1$ in M (where \bar{A} denotes the image of A under the collapsing map for M).*

Proof. Define M_ξ ($\xi \leq \omega_1$) recursively by $M_0 = M$, $M_{\alpha+1} = \text{Hull}_{H(\aleph_2)}(M_\alpha, \{M_\alpha \cap \omega_1\})$ and $M_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} M_\alpha$ when $\beta \leq \omega_1$ is a limit ordinal. Then by Lemma 5 the sequence of transitive collapses \bar{M}_α of these models defines an ω_1 -iteration of \bar{M} , and $j_{\omega_1}(\bar{A}) = A$ for every $A \in M$ that is a subset of ω_1 . \square

Lemma 8. *Assume M and N are transitive models of ZFC^0 such that $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M = \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^N$ and NS_{ω_1} is saturated in N . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *Every N -generic $G \subseteq (\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+)^N$ is M -generic.*
- (2) *For every $\alpha \leq \omega_1$ and every iteration $(N_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha)$ of $N = N_0$ the sequence $(M_\xi, G_\xi, k_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha)$ with $M = M_0$ and $k_{\xi, \xi+1}: M_\xi \rightarrow M_{\xi+1}$ induced by G_ξ , is an iteration of M .*
- (3) *If moreover $N \subseteq M$, then $N_\xi \subseteq M_\xi$ for all $\xi \leq \alpha$ and $j_{\xi\eta} = k_{\xi\eta} \upharpoonright N_\xi$ for all $\xi \leq \eta \leq \omega_1$.*

Proof. (1) Let \mathcal{A} be a maximal antichain in $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{NS}_{\omega_1}$ in M . By saturatedness it can be coded by a subset of ω_1 , and it is therefore a maximal antichain of $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/\text{NS}_{\omega_1}$ in N .

We prove (2) by induction on α . The case $\alpha = 1$ is (1). Assume $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and the statement is true for β . If $(N_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \beta + 1)$ is an iteration, then N_β and M_β have the same $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$. Assume $A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{N_{\beta+1}}$ and let $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$ be a function in N_β such that $[f]_{G_\beta} = A$. Work in N_β . For each $\xi < \omega_1$ there is a maximal antichain \mathcal{A}_ξ in $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ whose elements decide $f(\xi)$. Each of these antichains, as well as the whole structure, is of size \aleph_1 . Therefore $f \in M_\beta$. Since f is computed with respect to the same G_β in M , we have $A = [f]_{G_\beta} \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M$. This proves $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{N_\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{M_\alpha}$, and the proof of the other inclusion is analogous. By (1) the inductive hypothesis holds for α .

The case when α is a limit ordinal is immediate since we are taking direct limits.

Clause (3) is proved by induction. For the case $\alpha = 1$ we need to check that for every $f \in {}^{\omega_1}N$, $g \in {}^{\omega_1}M$ and $B \in \text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ such that $B \Vdash_{\text{NS}_{\omega_1}} [g]_{G_0} \in_{G_0} [f]_{G_0}$ there is a $g' \in {}^{\omega_1}N$ such that $B \Vdash [g']_{G_0} =_{G_0} [g]_{G_0}$. Let $A = \{\xi \in B \mid g(\xi) \in f(\xi)\}$. Then $\omega_1 \setminus A \in \text{NS}_{\omega_1}$, hence g' defined by $g'(\xi) = g(\xi)$ if $\xi \in A$ and $g'(\xi) = 0$ for $\xi \notin A$ is in N as required.

The proof of the successor case is identical, and the limit case is automatic since we are taking direct limits. \square

The *critical sequence* of an iteration $(M_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \gamma)$ is $j_{0\alpha}(\omega_1^{M_0})$ ($\alpha < \gamma$). An elementary embedding $j: M \rightarrow M^*$ can be naturally extended to include definable subsets of M in its domain. If $X = \{x \mid M \models \phi(x, a)\}$ for a parameter $a \in M$ let $j(X) = \{x \mid M^* \models \phi(x, j(a))\}$.

Lemma 9. *Assume M is a transitive model of ZFC^0 such that NS_{ω_1} is saturated in M and X is a definable subset M .*

- (1) *If $G \subseteq \text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ is M -generic, $j: M \rightarrow M^*$ is the generic ultrapower map then for every $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ -name \dot{x} for an element of $j(X)$ there is $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow X$ in M such that $\Vdash [f]_G = \dot{x}$. (Equivalently, $(jf)(\omega_1^M) = \text{int}_G(\dot{x})$.)*
- (2) *If $(M_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \gamma)$ is an iteration then for every $x \in j_{0\gamma}(X)$ then there is $n \in \omega$, an n -tuple $\vec{\xi}$ in the critical sequence and $f: \omega_1^n \rightarrow X$ in M_0 such that $a = (j_{0\gamma}f)(\vec{\xi})$.*
- (3) *In every iteration $(M_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \gamma)$ $j_{0\gamma}'' \text{Ord}^{M_0}$ is cofinal in Ord^{M_γ} .*

Proof. (1) Let \mathcal{A} be a maximal antichain such that each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ decides $f_A \in M$ such that $f_A: A \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ and $A \Vdash [f_A]_G = \dot{x}$. Since \mathcal{A} is of size at most \aleph_1 , we can assume its elements are pairwise disjoint and therefore $f' = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f_A \upharpoonright A$ is a function whose domain includes a club such that $[f']_G = \dot{x}$. The set $\{\xi \mid f'(\xi) \notin X\}$ is nonstationary and therefore we can define $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow X$ in M that coincides with f' on a club.

(2) Induction on γ . Fix an iteration $(M_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \gamma)$ and write $\xi_\alpha = j_{0\alpha}(\omega_1^M)$. For the successor case, $\gamma = \beta + 1$, by Lemma 6 let $f': \omega_1 \rightarrow j_{0\beta}(X)$ in M_β be such that $(j_{\beta\beta+1}f')(\xi_\beta) = x$. By the inductive assumption there is $f: \omega_1^n \rightarrow \omega_1 X$ in M_0 and $\vec{\xi}'$ in the critical sequence such that $(j_{0\beta}f)(\vec{\xi}') = f'$. Then with $\vec{\xi} = \vec{\xi}' \hat{\ } \xi_\beta$ we have $(j_{0\gamma}f)(\vec{\xi}) = x$. If γ is limit, there is nothing to prove since we are taking direct limits.

(3) Letting $X = \text{Ord}^M$ and applying (2), for an ordinal α in M_γ fix $f \in M_0$ such that $(jf)(\vec{\xi}) = \alpha$. If $\beta = \text{sup range}(f)$ then clearly $j(\beta) \geq \alpha$. \square

Lemma 10. *If M is a ctm such that NS_{ω_1} is saturated in M then M is n -iterable for all $n \in \omega$.*

Proof. It suffices to check the well-known case when $n = 1$, and for this we only need to check the following.

If $G \subseteq \text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ is generic and $j: M \rightarrow M^*$ is a generic ultrapower embedding then every ω -sequence of elements of M^* that belongs to $M[G]$ belongs to M^* .

It suffices to prove the assertion for sequences of ordinals. Assume $\dot{\alpha}_n$ ($n < \omega$) is a sequence of $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ -names for ordinals in M . By Lemma 9 there are functions $f_n \in M$ such that $\Vdash [f_n]_G = \dot{\alpha}_n$, and therefore the function $g: \omega_1 \rightarrow {}^\omega \text{Ord}$ defined by $g(\xi)(n) = f_n(\xi)$ is such that $\Vdash [g]_G = (\dot{\alpha}_n \mid n < \omega)$.

Since M^* is closed under ω -sequences in $M[G]$, it is well-founded in $M[G]$. But $M[G]$ is well-founded, and therefore so is M^* . \square

For $X \subseteq M$ we write $j''X = \{j(x) \mid x \in X\}$. The proof of Lemma 10 clearly gives that for every $X \subseteq M$ of size \aleph_1 we have $j''X \in M^*$, but note that M^* is not closed under ω_1 -sequences.

In the following ZFC^* is a finite fragment of ZFC large enough to imply ‘there is no largest cardinal.’

Lemma 11. *If M is a transitive model of ZFC^* , $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M$ is countable, and NS_{ω_1} is saturated in M , then M is α -iterable for every $\alpha \in M$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^M$ is countable we can construct iterations of M of an arbitrary countable length, and $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{M_\xi}$ will be countable for every $\xi < \omega_1$. Assume the assertion fails and fix an iteration $(M_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha)$ such that $M_0 = M$ and M_α is ill-founded. We can assume α is the minimal ordinal for which such an iteration exists. By (3) of Lemma 9, $j_{0\alpha}'' \text{Ord}^{M_0}$ is cofinal in Ord^{M_α} , and therefore there is $\beta \in \text{Ord}^{M_0}$ such that $j_{0\alpha}(\beta)$ is ill-founded. For each $\kappa \in M$ such that $M \models \text{cf}(\kappa) \geq \omega_2$ we have $(V_\kappa)^M \models \text{ZFC}^0$ hence by Lemma 8 each iteration of M induces an iteration of $(V_\kappa)^M$. By Lemma 8(3), this iteration is ill-founded.

Then α is a limit ordinal by Lemma 10, and it is clear that β is also a limit ordinal. We cannot define an iteration of $M \cap V_\kappa$ in M because its ω_1 is uncountable, hence let $G \subseteq \text{Coll}(\omega, |V_\kappa|^+)^M$ be M -generic. For a ctm N and $\alpha < \omega_1$ ‘there exists an ill-founded α -iteration of N ’ is a Σ_1^1 statement, and therefore absolute between transitive models of ZFC^0 . Therefore for $N_0 = V_\kappa^M$ the following holds in $M[G]$:

P_{α, β, N_0} : There is an ill-founded α -iteration $(N_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta < \alpha)$ such that $j_{0\alpha}(\beta)$ is ill-founded.

Let $(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \kappa_0)$ be the lexicographically minimal triple such that $P_{\alpha_0, \beta_0, (V_{\kappa_0})^M}$ holds in $M[G]$ and $\text{cf}(\kappa)^M > \omega_1$. Now let $N_0 = M \cap V_{\kappa_0}$, fix a witnessing iteration $(N_\xi, G_\xi, k_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha_0)$ in $M[G]$. Let ζ_n ($n \in \omega$) be a decreasing sequence of ordinals in N_{α_0} below $j_{0\alpha_0}(\beta_0)$. By (3) of Lemma 8 this sequence is in N_{α_0} . Since $j_{0\alpha_0}(\beta_0)$ is a direct limit, we can find $\alpha_1 < \alpha_0$ and $\beta_1 < j_{0\alpha_1}(\beta_0)$ such that $j_{\alpha_1\alpha_0}(\beta_1) = \zeta_2$, hence it is ill-founded. By Lemma 8 and the minimality of α_0 , in the induced iteration $(N_\xi, G_\xi, k_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha_0)$ all N_ξ ($\xi < \alpha_0$) are well-founded. By the elementarity, the triple $j_{0\alpha_1}(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \kappa)$ in has the same minimality property as $(\alpha_0, \beta_0, \kappa)$. But the tail $(N_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \alpha_1 \leq \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha_0)$ of the iteration is an iteration of length $\alpha_2 \leq j_{0\alpha_1}(\alpha_0)$ and it witnesses that $(\alpha_2, \beta_1, j_{0\alpha_1}(\kappa))$ have the same property. This is a contradiction since $\beta_1 < j(\beta_0)$. \square

The following is standard, and our only use of the assumption that there exists a measurable cardinal is its conclusion for the case $\mathfrak{A} = H(\aleph_2)$.

Lemma 12. *Assume κ is a measurable cardinal and $\mathfrak{A} \in V_\kappa$ is transitive. Then for every $\theta > \kappa$ the set*

$$\{M \prec \mathfrak{A} \mid M \text{ is countable}$$

$$\text{and there is an uncountable } N \prec H(\theta) \text{ such that } N \cap \mathfrak{A} = M\}$$

contains a club.

Proof. Let $<_w$ be a fixed well-ordering of V_κ and let $M \prec (V_\kappa, <_w)$ be countable and such that $\mathfrak{A} \in M$. Let f_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be the enumeration of all Skolem functions for V_κ with parameters in M . Find $A \subseteq \kappa$ of full measure such that each f_n with range in M is constant on A . Then $M_1 = \text{Hull}(M \cup \{\alpha\})$, for $\alpha = \min(A)$, is an end-extension of M . Iterating this process find an ω_1 -elementary chain of end-extensions and let N be its union. \square

Proof of Lemma 4. Assume NS_{ω_1} is saturated and let $A \subseteq \omega_1$. With $\theta = \kappa^+$, by Lemma 12 we can find $N \prec H(\theta)$ such that $A \in N$, $M = N \cap H(\aleph_2)$ is countable and N is of size \aleph_1 . Let \bar{M} and \bar{N} be the transitive collapses of these two

models. By Lemma 7, $N_0 = \bar{N}$ has an ω_1 -iteration $(N_\xi, G_\xi, k_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha)$ such that $A = j_{0\omega_1}(a)$ for some $a \in N_0$. We only need to show that \bar{N} is iterable. Note that $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{\bar{M}} = \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{\bar{N}}$. We claim \bar{M} is iterable. Assume not and fix an ill-founded iteration $(\bar{M}_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha)$ of minimal length; then $\alpha < \omega_1$. By Lemma 8, these generics define an iteration $(\bar{N}_\xi, G_\xi, j_{\xi\eta}, \xi \leq \eta \leq \alpha)$ such that $\bar{N}_\xi \subseteq \bar{M}_\xi$. The latter iteration is well-founded by Lemma 8, a contradiction. \square

Note that we have actually proved the following.

Theorem 13. *Assume that for every $A \subseteq \omega_1$ there is an iterable M and $a \in M$ such that for some iteration $j: M \rightarrow M_{\omega_1}$ we have $j(a) = A$. Then CH fails.* \square

Acknowledgments. This note is based on a series of talks that I gave at the Toronto Set Theory Seminar in the Fall of 2005. I would like to thank all members of the seminar for active participation and many critical remarks. I am especially grateful to David Fremlin for conversations.

REFERENCES

1. T. Jech, *Set theory*, Springer, 2003.
2. P.B. Larson, *Forcing over models of determinacy*, Handbook of Set Theory, to appear.
3. W.H. Woodin, *The axiom of determinacy, forcing axioms and the nonstationary ideal*, de Gruyter Series in Logic and Its Applications, vol. 1, de Gruyter, 1999.
4. S. Zoble, *The equation $\delta_2^1 = u_2$* , note, October 8, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, 4700 KEELE STREET,
NORTH YORK, ONTARIO, CANADA, M3J 1P3, AND MATEMATICKI INSTITUT, KNEZA MIHAILA 35,
BELGRADE

E-mail address: ifarah@mathstat.yorku.ca

URL: <http://www.math.yorku.ca/~ifarah>