

OMITTING TYPES AND AF ALGEBRAS

KEVIN CARLSON, ENOCH CHEUNG, ILIJAS FARAH, ALEXANDER
GERHARDT-BOURKE, BRADD HART, LEANNE MEZUMAN, NIGEL SEQUEIRA,
AND ALEXANDER SHERMAN

The model theory of metric structures ([3]) was successfully applied to analyze ultrapowers of C*-algebras in [13] and [12]. Since important classes of separable C*-algebras, such as UHF, AF, or nuclear algebras, are not elementary (i.e., not characterized by their theory—see [12, §6.1]), for a moment it seemed that model theoretic methods do not apply to these classes of C*-algebras. We prove results suggesting that this is not the case.

Many of the prominent problems in the modern theory of C*-algebras are concerned with the extent of the class of nuclear C*-algebras. We have the bootstrap class problem (see [5, IV3.1.16]), the question of whether all nuclear C*-algebras satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem, UCT, (see [21, §2.4]), and the Toms–Winter conjecture (to the effect that the three regularity properties of nuclear C*-algebras discussed in [9] are equivalent; see [23]). If one could characterize classes of algebras in question—such as nuclear algebras, algebras with finite nuclear dimension, or algebras with finite decomposition rank—as algebras that omit certain sets of types (see §1) then one might use the omitting types theorem ([3, §12]) to construct such algebras, modulo resolving a number of nontrivial technical obstacles. This paper is the first, albeit modest, step in this project.

Recall that a unital C*-algebra is UHF (Uniformly HyperFinite) if it is a tensor product of full matrix algebras, $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Non-unital UHF algebras are direct limits of full matrix algebras, and in the separable, unital case the two definitions are equivalent. A C*-algebra is AF (Approximately Finite) if it is a direct limit of finite-dimensional C*-algebras. These three classes of C*-algebras were the first to be classified - by work of Glimm, Dixmier and Elliott (building on Bratteli’s results), respectively. Elliott’s classification of separable AF algebras by the ordered K_0 group was a prototype for Elliott’s program for classification of nuclear, simple, separable, unital C*-algebras by their K-theoretic invariants (see [21] or [9]). Types are defined in §1.

Theorem 1. *There are countably many types such that a separable C*-algebra A is UHF if and only if it omits all of these types.*

Theorem 2. *There are countably many types such that a separable C*-algebra A is AF if and only if it omits all of these types.*

Date: December 23, 2012.

This research was supported by the Fields–MITACS undergraduate summer research program in July and August 2012.

The statement of these two theorems has to be slightly modified in the general, not necessarily separable, case (see §3).

Recall that two C^* -algebras A and B are *elementarily equivalent* if they have the same theory. In terms of §1 this corresponds to saying that A and B define the same functional on $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_0$.

Theorem 3. (1) *If A and B are unital, separable UHF algebras then they are isomorphic if and only if they are elementarily equivalent.*
 (2) *There are non-unital, separable UHF algebras which are elementarily equivalent but not isomorphic.*
 (3) *There are unital separable AF algebras which are elementarily equivalent but not isomorphic.*

Although (2) and (3) appear as negative results, they are potentially more interesting than (1). In light of Elliott’s classification result and the Effros–Handelman–Shen range of invariant result (see [7]), the category of ordered dimension groups is equivalent to the category of separable AF algebras.

We note a fact closely related to the above: Every separable unital UHF algebra is an atomic model (Theorem 4.1) but non-unital UHF algebras and AF algebras need not be atomic (Proposition 4.6).

The main motivation behind this work is a desire to initiate a model-theoretic analysis of important classes of C^* -algebras, such as nuclear algebras, classifiable algebras, or algebras with finite decomposition rank or finite nuclear dimension (see e.g., [23]). A logician-friendly introduction to the subject can be found e.g., in [11].

A remark on terminology. Common English adjectives, such as normal, compact, or stable, have special meaning in different areas of mathematics. In the case of compactness these meanings cohere to an uncanny degree. However, this phenomenon is quite rare. The adjective ‘stable’ is common both in operator algebras and in model theory - model-theoretic stability even turned out to be relevant to questions about operator algebras (see [13]) In C^* -algebras the word ‘stable’ has more than one different interpretation (see [5] II.6.6., V.3 or II.8.3, page 162) Nevertheless, in the present paper the word stability will always be interpreted in its C^* -algebraic sense, as in ‘stable relations’ (see [19] or [5, p. 166]). We hope that our natural choice of terminology will not cause confusion or annoyance.

1. MODEL-THEORETIC TYPES

Syntax for the logic for metric structures was defined in [12, §§2.3, 2.4]). We shall briefly recall the definitions for C^* -algebras. *Terms* are $*$ -polynomials in variables x_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Formulas are defined recursively:

- (1) *Atomic formulas* are of the form $\|t\|$ where t is a term.
- (2) If ϕ and ψ are formulas and $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ then $f(\phi, \psi)$ is a formula.

- (3) If ϕ is a formula and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\inf_{\|x_n\| \leq k} \phi$ and $\sup_{\|x_n\| \leq k} \phi$ are formulas.

A formula is n -ary if it has at most n free variables. We sometimes write $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in order to emphasize that the free variables of ϕ are among x_1, \dots, x_n . An n -ary formula ϕ defines a continuous function on A^n for every C^* -algebra A (see [12, Lemma 2.2]).

Types are defined in [12, §4.3]. We shall need only types over the empty set and we recall the definition now. A *condition* is an expression of the form $\phi \leq r$ or $\phi \geq r$, where ϕ is a formula and $r \geq 0$ is a real number. A condition is n -ary if the corresponding formula is n -ary. An n -ary condition $\phi \geq r$ is *satisfied* in A by $\bar{a} \in (A_1)^n$ if $\phi(\bar{a})^A \geq r$, and similarly for $\phi \leq r$. Here A_1 denotes the unit ball of A . (Allowing only the elements of the unit ball to be realizations of a condition is an innocuous restriction and it will simplify some of our considerations.) A set of conditions is a *type*. If the free variables of all conditions in \mathbf{t} are included in x_1, \dots, x_n we say that \mathbf{t} is an n -*type*. An n -type $\mathbf{t}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is *consistent* if there exist a C^* -algebra A and $\bar{a} \in (A_1)^n$ such that all conditions in \mathbf{t} are satisfied by \bar{a} .

This defines a *partial type*. A consistent type that is maximal (as a set of conditions under the inclusion) is also called a *complete type* and sometimes simply a *type*.

Complete n -types form a dual space of a natural Banach space. Fix $n \geq 0$ and let \mathfrak{F}_n be the set of all formulas with free variables included in x_1, \dots, x_n . Then \mathfrak{F} is closed under the addition and multiplication by positive reals. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_n$ be the real vector space generated by \mathfrak{F}_n .

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that A^n and $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_n$ are in duality—albeit non-linear. If A is a C^* -algebra then every $\phi \in \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_n$ defines a function f_ϕ on A^n by

$$f_\phi(\bar{a}) = \phi(\bar{a})^A.$$

This function is in general not linear but it is uniformly continuous (see [12, Lemma 2.2]). If A is a C^* -algebra then every $\bar{a} \in (A_1)^n$ defines a linear functional on $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_n$ by

$$g_{A, \bar{a}}(\phi) = \phi(\bar{a})^A.$$

These functionals can be used to define a sup-norm on $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_n$ via

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{\bar{a} \in (A_1)^n} |\phi(\bar{a})^A| = \sup_{\bar{a} \in (A_1)^n} g_{A, \bar{a}}(\phi).$$

where the sup is taken over all C^* -algebras A and all $a \in (A_1)^n$. By the downward Löwenheim–Skolem theorem ([12, Proposition 4.7]) it suffices to take the sup over all separable C^* -algebras.

Therefore the space of n -types can be identified with a closed linear subspace of the dual of $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_n$, denoted \mathfrak{T}_n . The *logic topology* on the space of types (see [12, Definition 8.4]) is the weak*-topology on \mathfrak{T}_n and the *d-metric* on types (see the text before Proposition 8.7 in [3]) corresponds to the dual Banach space norm on \mathfrak{T}_n .

In model theory one usually studies complete types over a complete theory. We cannot afford to do that, since the theory of C^* -algebras is not complete and the types that naturally occur here are not complete. Since the omitting types theorem is stated for complete types in complete theories ([3, §12]) this causes some additional model-theoretic complications (see examples in [2] and a simplified version in [4]).

The idea of providing the space of all formulas with a Banach space structure can be extended much further. In [1] the formulas of the logic of metric structures were equipped with a natural abelian C^* -algebra structure and some preliminary results were proved.

2. STABLE FORMULAS AND DEFINABILITY

Our working assumption is that all models are models of the theory of C^* -algebras. Nevertheless, some of our results apply to a more abstract context. One difference between our treatment and [3, §9] is that in the latter definability is considered with respect to a complete theory (or rather, in a fixed model of this theory), while we consider definability over a theory that is not complete (the theory of C^* -algebras).

A formula $\psi(\bar{x})$ is *stable* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every C^* -algebra A and every $\bar{a} \in A^n$ with $|\psi(\bar{a})| < \delta$ there exists $\bar{b} \in A^n$ such that $\|a_i - b_i\| < \varepsilon$ for all $i < n$ and $\psi(\bar{b}) = 0$.

The case when $\psi(\bar{x})$ is of the form $\|p(\bar{x})\|$ for a $*$ -polynomial p , the above definition corresponds to the notion of *stable relations* ([19, Definition 14.1.1]), introduced by Blackadar under the name of ‘partially liftable relations’ in [6, Remark 2.34].

By A_1 we denote the unit ball of a C^* -algebra A and consider its m -th power (for $m \in \mathbb{N}$) as a metric space with respect to the max metric. Following [3, Definition 9.16] we say that a closed subset D of $(A_1)^m$ is *definable* if there exist m -ary formulas $\phi_n(\bar{x})$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(*) \quad \lim_n \phi_n(\bar{x}) = \text{dist}(\bar{x}, D)$$

for all $\bar{x} \in (A_1)^m$.

Typically D will be defined as the zero-set of a formula $\psi(\bar{x})$. If this zero-set is definable in every C^* -algebra by using the same sequence of formulas ϕ_n and such that the rate of convergence in (*) is uniform over all C^* -algebras, then we say that it is *uniformly definable*. The following proof is similar to the proof of [3, Proposition 8.19].

Lemma 2.1. *The zero-set of a formula ψ is uniformly definable if and only if the formula is stable.*

Proof. Assume the zero-set D of ψ is uniformly definable. Then for every n we can fix ϕ_n such that in every C^* -algebra A we have (using D^A to denote the zero-set of ψ in A) $|\phi_n(\bar{b}) - \text{dist}(\bar{b}, D^A)| < 1/n$. Assume that ψ is not stable. Then for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a C^* -algebra A_n and $\bar{a}_n \in (A_n)^m$ such that $\psi(\bar{a}_n)^{A_n} < 1/n$ but $\text{dist}(\bar{a}_n, D^{A_n}) \geq \varepsilon$. If

$k > 2/\varepsilon$, then $\phi_k(\bar{a}_n)^{A_n} \geq \varepsilon/2$ for all n . If \mathcal{U} is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} then in the ultraproduct $A = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_n$ the tuple \bar{a} represented by $(\bar{a}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is such that $\psi(\bar{a})^A = 0$ but $\phi_l(\bar{a})^A \geq \varepsilon/2$ for all $l \geq 2/\varepsilon$, a contradiction.

Now assume ψ is stable. By [3, Proposition 2.10] we can fix a continuous function $\alpha: [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 2]$ (2 is the diameter of the unit ball) such that $\alpha(0) = 0$, $\alpha(2) = 2$ and with D_ψ denoting the zero-set of ψ we have $\text{dist}(\bar{x}, D_\psi) \leq \alpha(\psi(\bar{x}))$ for all \bar{x} . Then the formula

$$\phi(\bar{x}) = \inf_{\|y\| \leq 1} (\alpha(\psi(\bar{y})) + \|x - y\|)$$

is equal to $\text{dist}(\bar{x}, D_\psi)$ in every C*-algebra A . \square

By the above, a subset of a C*-algebra is *definable* in the sense of [3] iff it is equal to the zero set of a stable formula.

We also note that the formula

$$\rho_p(x) = \|x - x^*\| + \|x^2 - x\|$$

is stable and that its zero set is the set of all projections. This is an easy application of continuous functional calculus (see e.g., [19]).

Lemma 2.2. *For every n there exist stable formulas α_n and α_n^u in n^2 free variables whose zero set in any C*-algebra is the set of matrix units of copies of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the set of matrix units of unital copies of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, respectively.*

Proof. Let α_n be (with δ_{kl} being Kronecker's delta)

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n} \|\delta_{kl} x_{ij} - x_{ik} x_{lj}\| + \|x_{ij} - x_{ji}^*\| + \|\|x_{11}\| - 1\|.$$

The zero set of α_n consists of all n^2 -tuples a_{ij} , for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ which satisfy the matrix unit equations. Stability is a well-known fact ([6], see also [19]).

Let α_n^u be $\max(\alpha_n, \|1 - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_{ii}\|)$. Stability follows from the fact that projections are defined by a stable formula. \square

Lemma 2.3. *For every finite-dimensional C*-algebra F there exist stable formulas α_F and α_F^u in m ($= \dim F$) free variables whose zero set in any C*-algebra is the set of matrix units of copies of F and the set of matrix units of unital copies of F , respectively.*

Note: We shall write α_k and α_k^u instead of $\alpha_{M_k(\mathbb{C})}$ and $\alpha_{M_k(\mathbb{C})}^u$, respectively.

Proof. Every finite-dimensional C*-algebra is a direct sum of full matrix algebras (see e.g., [7]). If $F = \bigoplus_{1 \leq l \leq n} M_{k(l)}(\mathbb{C})$ (so that $m = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} k(l)^2$) we define α_F to be the following formula in variables $x_{ij}^{(l)}$, for $1 \leq l \leq n$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq k(l)$:

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq n} \alpha_{k(l)}(x_{ij}^{(l)} : 1 \leq i, j \leq k(l)) + \rho_p(\sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k(l)} x_{ii}^{(l)})$$

The first part of the formula assures that for each l the group $x_{ij}^{(l)}$ represents units of a $k(l) \times k(l)$ matrix, and the second part assures that the units of

these matrices add up to a projection. Since both α_k and ρ_p are stable, the stability of α_F follows.

We finally let α_F^u be

$$\max(\alpha_F, \|1 - \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k(l)} x_{ii}^{(l)}\|)$$

as in Lemma 2.2. □

2.1. An alternative axiomatization of C*-algebras. In [12] it was proved that the C*-algebras form an elementary class in the logic for metric structures. In order to make the proofs more transparent, we shall introduce an alternative representation of C*-algebras as metric structures. In [13, §2.3.1] C*-algebras are represented as one-sorted structures with sort U for the algebra itself and domains D_n , for $n \geq 1$, corresponding to n -balls of the algebra.

We shall expand this structure, by adding a second sort C with domains C_n , for $n \geq 1$. Sort C is always interpreted as the complex numbers, and C_n is the disk of all z with $|z| \leq n$. We also add the distance function

$$d_C(a, b) = |a - b|$$

as well as constant symbols for all elements of $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$. In addition to the axioms given in [12, §3.1], we add the following

- (1) field axioms for elements of C ,
- (2) axioms that associate multiplication by $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ (which is a part of the language) to the element of C corresponding to λ .

We denote this theory by T'_{C^*} . Clearly, every model of T'_{C^*} has a reduct that is a model of T_{C^*} and every model of T_{C^*} has the unique expansion to a model of T'_{C^*} .

In [3, Theorem 9.15] it was proved that if formulas $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ and $\psi(\bar{y})$ are stable then the formula $(\inf_{\psi(\bar{y})=0} \phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))$ is stable. As pointed out above, [3] considers definability over a complete theory but the result nevertheless applies to our context.

Lemma 2.4. *For every finite-dimensional C*-algebra F and every m there are m -ary stable formulas $\beta_{F,m}$ and $\beta_{F,m}^u$ such that for every C*-algebra A and a_1, \dots, a_m in the unit ball of A we have*

$$\beta_{F,m}(a_1, \dots, a_m)^A = \inf_C \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \text{dist}(a_i, C)$$

where C ranges over isomorphic copies of F in A and

$$\beta_{F,m}^u(a_1, \dots, a_m)^A = \inf_C \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \text{dist}(a_i, C)$$

where C ranges over unital isomorphic copies of F in A .

Proof. Fix m . Let us first prove the case when $F = M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\beta_{k,m}^0(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ be

$$\inf_{\bar{x}} (\alpha_k(\bar{x}) + \inf_{\bar{\lambda}} \max_{1 \leq l \leq m} \|y_l - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \lambda_{ij}^l x_{ij}\|),$$

where \bar{x} ranges over k^2 -tuples x_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ in the unit ball of the algebra and $\bar{\lambda}$ ranges over $l \cdot k^2$ -tuples λ_{ij}^l in $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$. Clearly $\beta_{k,m}^0(\bar{a})^A = 0$ if and only if there is a copy of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ in A including all a_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Also, since we are quantifying over the compact set \mathbb{D}^{lk^2} , the formula $\beta_{k,m}^0$ is stable by [3, Theorem 9.15]. By [3, Proposition 9.19] there exists a formula $\beta_{M_k(\mathbb{C}),m}$ (henceforth denoted $\beta_{k,m}$) as required.

The case when F is an arbitrary finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is only notationally different (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.3). Finally, $\beta_{F,m}^u$ is obtained by replacing $\alpha_{F,m}$ with $\alpha_{F,m}^u$. \square

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND THEOREM 2

A C^* -algebra A is *locally matricial*, or LM, if for every finite subset \bar{a} of A and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a k and a $*$ -homomorphism of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ into A such that all elements of \bar{a} are within ε of its range. By a classical result of Glimm ([17], see also [7]) in the separable case this is equivalent to A being UHF. It was shown in [14] that being LM is not equivalent to being UHF for nonseparable C^* -algebras.

One similarly defines LF algebras as the algebras in which every finite set is within ε of a copy of a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra. By a classical result of Bratteli ([10], see also [7]) in the separable case this is equivalent to A being AF.

By Glimm's and Bratteli's results, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 imply Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, respectively.

Theorem 3.1. *There are countably many types such that a separable C^* -algebra A is LM if and only if it omits all of these types.*

Proof. We shall produce a countable family of types $\mathbf{t}_{m,n}$, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\mathbf{t}_{m,n}$ is an n -type and a separable C^* -algebra A is UHF if and only if it omits all of these types.

For k and n in \mathbb{N} let $\beta_{k,n}$ denote the formula $\beta_{M_k(\mathbb{C}),n}$ (as in Lemma 2.4). Let $\mathbf{t}_{m,n}$ be the n -type in x_1, \dots, x_n consisting of all the conditions $\beta_{k,n}(\bar{x}) \geq 1/m$ for $k \geq 2$ and all the conditions $\|x_i\| \leq 1$, for $i \leq n$.

Type $\mathbf{t}_{m,n}$ is realized by a_1, \dots, a_n in algebra A if and only if each a_i belongs to the unit ball and every subalgebra C of A that is isomorphic to a full matrix algebra is such that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{dist}(a_i, C) \geq 1/m$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *There are countably many types such that a separable C^* -algebra A is LF if and only if it omits all of these types.*

Proof. This proof is analogous to the above proof of Theorem 1. We shall produce a countable family of types $\mathbf{s}_{m,n}$, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\mathbf{s}_{m,n}$ is an n -type and a separable C^* -algebra A is AF if and only if it omits all of these types.

Let $\mathbf{s}_{m,n}$ be the n -type in x_1, \dots, x_n consisting of all the conditions $\beta_{F,n}(\bar{x}) \geq 1/m$, where F ranges over all finite-dimensional C^* -algebras and all the conditions $\|x_i\| \leq 1$, for $i \leq n$.

Type $\mathbf{s}_{m,n}$ is realized by a_1, \dots, a_n in algebra A if and only if each a_i belongs to the unit ball and every subalgebra C of A that is isomorphic to a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is such that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{dist}(a_i, C) \geq 1/m$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. (1) We prove that if A and B are unital, separable UHF algebras then they are isomorphic if and only if they are elementarily equivalent. By Glimm's theorem ([17]) a complete isomorphism invariant for unital UHF algebras is given by the *generalized integer* \mathbf{n} defined as the formal product

$$\mathbf{n}(A) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} p^{n(A)}$$

where $n(A)$ is the supremum of all n such that A includes a unital copy of $M_{p^n}(\mathbb{C})$.

By Lemma 2.2, A has a unital copy of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $\inf_{\bar{x}} \alpha_k^u(\bar{x})^A = 0$. Therefore $\mathbf{n}(A)$ can be recovered from the theory of A , and the conclusion follows by Glimm's theorem.

(2) We give a non-constructive proof that there are non-unital, separable UHF algebras which are elementarily equivalent but not isomorphic. Essentially by Dixmier's classification result for these algebras ([8]), to every countable, torsion free, rank one abelian group Γ one can associate a separable non-unital UHF algebra $A(\Gamma)$ so that $A(\Gamma) \cong A(\Gamma')$ if and only if $\Gamma \cong \Gamma'$. The group Γ is $K_0(A(\Gamma))$ and the map $\Gamma \mapsto A(\Gamma)$ is implemented by a Borel map from the standard Borel space of countable groups into the standard Borel space of separable C^* -algebras ([16]). Since the isomorphism of countable, torsion free, rank one abelian groups is not a smooth Borel equivalence relation (see e.g., [22]), we conclude that the isomorphism of non-unital, separable, UHF algebras is not smooth either. Finally, the computation of the theory of a separable C^* -algebra is given by a Borel map ([16]) and the theory (being a smooth invariant) therefore cannot be a complete invariant for non-unital, separable, UHF algebras.

(3) In order to see that there are unital separable AF algebras which are elementarily equivalent but not isomorphic, consider unitizations of algebras constructed in (2). \square

4. PRIME MODELS

A model of a theory T is *prime* if it is isomorphic to an elementary submodel of every other model of T .

Theorem 4.1. *Every separable unital UHF algebra is a prime model.*

The proof depends on a sequence of lemmas. $\mathbf{t}_A(\bar{a})$ denotes the type of \bar{a} in A and 'type' means 'type over the empty set' unless otherwise specified. Let us first record three obvious facts

Lemma 4.2. (1) *Suppose that φ is a stable formula and A is a model such that for $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in A$, if $\varphi(\bar{a}) = \varphi(\bar{b}) = 0$ then $\mathbf{t}_A(\bar{a}) = \mathbf{t}_A(\bar{b})$. Then φ determines a principal type.*

- (2) If φ is a stable formula and A is a model such that whenever $\varphi(\bar{a}) = \varphi(\bar{b}) = 0$ then there is an automorphism σ of A such that $\sigma(\bar{a}) = \bar{b}$ then $\mathfrak{t}_A(\bar{a}) = \mathfrak{t}_A(\bar{b})$ and this type is principal.
- (3) If A is a model such that for all $a \in A$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\bar{b} \in A$ such that $\mathfrak{t}_A(\bar{b})$ is principal and $d(a, \bar{b}) \leq \epsilon$ then A is atomic.

Proof. (1) and (2) are obvious. The proof of (3) is similar to the proof of [3, Corollary 12.9]. One chooses a countable dense subset of A such that every finite subset has a principal type over the empty set. A straightforward ‘forth’ (half of back-and-forth) argument shows that A is isomorphic to a unital subalgebra of any other model of the theory of A . \square

We consider the finite-dimensional case first.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume F is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra and \bar{b} is an m -tuple in F_1 . Then there exists a stable m -ary formula $\gamma_{F, \bar{b}}(\bar{x})$ such that in a unital C^* -algebra A the zero-set of $\gamma_{F, \bar{b}}$ is equal to the set of all $\bar{c} \in (A_1)^m$ such that there exists a unital $*$ -isomorphism $\Phi: F \rightarrow A$ such that $\Phi(\bar{b}) = \bar{c}$.*

Proof. Let us first consider the case when F is $M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Fix for a moment matrix units a_{ij} , for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ of F . Any m -tuple of elements b_1, \dots, b_m in F has coordinates λ_{ij}^l , for $1 \leq l \leq m$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ such that $b_l = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \lambda_{ij}^l a_{ij}$. Recall that the complex numbers are part of the language and let $\gamma_{F, \bar{b}}(\bar{y})$ be

$$\inf_{\bar{x}} \alpha_k^u(\bar{x}) + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq m} \|y_l - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \lambda_{ij}^l x_{ij}\|.$$

Then $\gamma(\bar{b}) = 0$. The stability of α_k implies that $\gamma_{F, \bar{b}}$ is stable. If $\gamma(\bar{c}) = 0$ for $\bar{c} \in A$ then there is k^2 -tuple \bar{d} such that $\alpha_k^u(\bar{d}) = 0$ and $c_l = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \lambda_{ij}^l d_{ij}$. The $*$ -homomorphism from $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ to A that sends a_{ij} to d_{ij} also sends \bar{b} to \bar{c} . and it is clear that the zero-set of $\gamma_{F, \bar{b}}$ is as required.

The case of general finite-dimensional algebra F is almost identical. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Assume A is a unital separable UHF algebra. Then every unital embedding of A into an elementarily equivalent C^* -algebra B is automatically elementary.*

Proof. By the Löwenheim–Skolem theorem it suffices to prove the result for separable B . Fix a nonprincipal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} . Since B can be elementarily embedded into the ultrapower $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ by countable saturation, it will suffice to show that every unital embedding of A into $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ is elementary.

Since any two unital copies of $M_k(\mathbb{N})$ in A are conjugate (e.g., see [7]), and since this can be expressed in our logic, by Los’s theorem any two unital copies of $M_k(\mathbb{N})$ in $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ are conjugate. By countable saturation of $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ and separability of A we conclude that any two unital copies of A in $A^{\mathcal{U}}$ are conjugate. Since the diagonal map is an elementary embedding of A into $A^{\mathcal{U}}$, every other embedding is elementary as well. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall that a model A of a theory T is *atomic* if every type over the empty set realized in A is principal. It is well-known that a separable model is prime if and only if it is atomic (see e.g., [3, Corollary 12.9] for the nontrivial direction). We shall use a minor strengthening of this fact.

Fix a unital UHF algebra A . We first show that for every k and every unital copy C of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ in A , the type over the empty set of every m -tuple \bar{a} of elements of C is principal.

Consider the stable formula $\gamma = \gamma_{k,\bar{a}}$ used in the proof of Lemma 4.3. Then $\gamma(\bar{a})^A = 0$. Moreover, any other m -tuple \bar{b} in A we have that $\gamma(\bar{b})^A = 0$ if and only if \bar{b} is contained in a unital copy of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ in A with the same coefficients as \bar{a} . Since for every k that divides the generalized integer of A , any two unital copies of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ in A are conjugate (see e.g., [7]), Lemma 4.2 (2) implies that \bar{a} and \bar{b} have the same type.

We conclude that the type of \bar{a} is determined by a single stable formula, $\gamma(\bar{x})$ and therefore principal.

Since A is UHF, every m -tuple in A can be approximated arbitrarily well by an m -tuple belonging to a unital copy of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ for a large enough k . We have therefore proved that for every m the set of m -tuples whose type is principal is dense in A^m , and by Lemma 4.2 (3) we conclude that A is atomic. \square

A salient point of the above proof of Theorem 4.1 is that all unital copies of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ in a UHF algebra are conjugate. We should note that this is not necessarily the case for an arbitrary simple, nuclear, separable, unital C^* -algebra. It is an easy consequence of the Kirchberg–Phillips classification theorem for purely infinite C^* -algebras (see e.g., [21]) that there exists a nuclear, simple, separable, unital C^* -algebra A and two unital copies of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ in A that are not conjugate (see e.g., [15]).

Our proof of Theorem 4.1 actually shows the following.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume A is a separable, unital C^* -algebra which is a direct limit of algebras A_n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that (i) each A_n is finitely generated, and the generators are defined by a stable quantifier-free formula, and (ii) every two unital copies of A_n in A are conjugate. Then A is atomic.*

Proof. The proof closely follows the proof of Theorem 4.1. First, every \bar{a} in the subalgebra of A_n algebraically generated by a fixed set of generators has a principal type. Since the generators are given by a stable, quantifier-free formula, the formula defining this type is true in A (here A_n is considered as a subalgebra of A). But any two copies of A_n in A are conjugate, and therefore this formula completely determines the type of \bar{a} in A . We have therefore proved that for every m the set of m -tuples whose type is principal is dense in A^m , and by Lemma 4.2 (3) we conclude that A is atomic. \square

The Jiang–Su algebra \mathcal{Z} has a special place in Elliott’s program ([18], see also [23]). It is a direct limit of *dimension drop algebras* $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$, which are finitely-generated algebras whose generators are defined by a stable

quantifier-free formula ([18, §7.2]). However, Proposition 4.5 does not apply to \mathcal{Z} since every dimension-drop algebra $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ has many non-conjugate unital copies in \mathcal{Z} . The reason for this is that \mathcal{Z} has a unique trace, while $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ does not, hence the conjugacy orbit of a copy of $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$ depends on which one of its traces extends to a trace in \mathcal{Z} , and it is not difficult to see that this could be any of the traces of $\mathcal{Z}_{p,q}$. Results of Matui and Sato ([20, Lemma 4.7]) on the uniqueness of trace suggest that the unique trace is definable in nuclear C*-algebras with property (SI) (in particular, in \mathcal{Z}).

Since two separable atomic models are isomorphic iff they are elementarily equivalent, Theorem 4.1 provides an alternative proof of Theorem 3 (1). In the other direction, parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 3 immediately imply the following.

Proposition 4.6. *Some non-unital UHF algebras are not atomic models. Some separable AF algebras are not atomic models. \square*

By Elliott's classification of separable AF algebras by the ordered K_0 ([10], [21]), the isomorphism type of a separable AF algebra A is determined by $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1])$. In particular, the complete information on what non-principal types are being realized in A is contained in the ordered K_0 . A better model-theoretic understanding of the mechanism behind this would be desirable. In particular, what is the connection between $K_0(A)$ and the theory of A , and what groups correspond to elementarily equivalent algebras? How exactly does $K_0(A)$ determine what nonprincipal types are realized in A ?

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

As pointed out in the introduction, we believe that model-theoretic study of nuclear C*-algebras, initiated in the present paper, will be fruitful.

We conclude by stating some of the many questions along this line of research. Does the theory of every C*-algebra allow an atomic model? Are all atomic models of the theory of C*-algebras nuclear? (The converse is, by Theorem 3, false.) Is there a nuclear C*-algebra elementarily equivalent to the Calkin algebra? Is there a characterization of Elliott invariants of C*-algebras that are atomic models? Is every C*-algebra elementarily equivalent to a nuclear C*-algebra? A positive answer to this question would imply a positive answer to a problem of Kirchberg, whether every separable C*-algebra is a subalgebra of the ultrapower of Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 . Of course Kirchberg's problem (a C*-algebraic version of Connes Embedding Problem) is asking whether the universal theory of every C*-algebra includes the universal theory of \mathcal{O}_2 .

REFERENCES

1. I. Ben-Ya'acov, *On a C*-algebra formalism for continuous first order logic*, preprint, available at <http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/homes-www/begnac/>, 2008.

2. I. Ben-Ya'acov, *Definability of groups in \aleph_0 -stable metric structures*, arXiv preprint arXiv:0802.4286 (2010).
3. I. Ben Yaacov, A. Berenstein, C.W. Henson, and A. Usvyatsov, *Model theory for metric structures*, Model Theory with Applications to Algebra and Analysis, Vol. II (Z. Chatzidakis et al., eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, no. 350, Cambridge University Press, 2008, pp. 315–427.
4. T. Bice, *A brief note on omitting partial types in continuous model theory*, preprint, 2012.
5. B. Blackadar, *Operator algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 122, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III.
6. Bruce Blackadar, *Shape theory for C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand. **56** (1985), no. 2, 249–275.
7. K.R. Davidson, *C^* -algebras by example*, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
8. J. Dixmier, *On some C^* -algebras considered by Glimm*, J. Functional Analysis **1** (1967), 182–203.
9. G.A. Elliott and A.S. Toms, *Regularity properties in the classification program for separable amenable C^* -algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (2008), no. 2, 229–245.
10. George A. Elliott, *On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras*, J. Algebra **38** (1976), no. 1, 29–44.
11. I. Farah, *Selected applications of logic to classification problem of C^* -algebras*, IMS 2012 Singapore Graduate Summer School Lecture Notes (C.T. Chong et al., eds.), to appear, available at <http://www.math.yorku.ca/~ifarah/preprints.html>.
12. I. Farah, B. Hart, and D. Sherman, *Model theory of operator algebras II: Model theory*, preprint, arXiv:1004.0741, 2010.
13. ———, *Model theory of operator algebras I: Stability*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
14. I. Farah and T. Katsura, *Nonseparable UHF algebras I: Dixmier's problem*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 3, 1399–1430.
15. ———, *Nonseparable UHF algebras II: Classification*, preprint, to appear.
16. I. Farah, A.S. Toms, and A. Törnquist, *The descriptive set theory of C^* -algebra invariants*, IMRN (to appear), Appendix with Caleb Eckhardt.
17. J. G. Glimm, *On a certain class of operator algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 318–340.
18. X. Jiang and H. Su, *On a simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra*, Amer. J. Math **121** (1999), 359–413.
19. T.A. Loring, *Lifting solutions to perturbing problems in C^* -algebras*, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 8, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
20. H. Matui and Y. Sato, *\mathcal{Z} -stability of crossed products by strongly outer actions II*, Preprint, arXiv:1205.1590v1, 2012.
21. M. Rørdam, *Classification of nuclear C^* -algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
22. Simon Thomas, *On the complexity of the classification problem for torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank*, Bull. Symbolic Logic **7** (2001), no. 3, 329–344.
23. W. Winter, *Ten lectures on topological and algebraic regularity properties of nuclear C^* -algebras*, CBMS conference notes, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA, 47408
E-mail address: kdcarlso@indiana.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 970 EVANS HALL, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CA 94720
E-mail address: enochcheung@berkeley.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, 4700 KEELE STREET, NORTH YORK, ONTARIO, CANADA, M3J 1P3, AND MATEMATICKI INSTITUT, KNEZA MIHAILA 35, BELGRADE, SERBIA
URL: <http://www.math.yorku.ca/~ifarah>
E-mail address: ifarah@mathstat.yorku.ca

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG, NSW 2522, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: agb526@uowmail.edu.au

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, 1280 MAIN STREET, WEST HAMILTON, ONTARIO, CANADA L8S 4K1
E-mail address: hartb@mcmaster.ca
URL: <http://www.math.mcmaster.ca/~bradd/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF GUELPH, 50 STONE ROAD EAST, GUELPH, ONTARIO, CANADA N1G 2W1
E-mail address: lmezuman@uoguelph.ca

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, 1280 MAIN STREET, WEST HAMILTON, ONTARIO, CANADA L8S 4K1
E-mail address: sequeinj@mcmaster.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-4015
E-mail address: asherm92@umd.edu