

QUIZ # 8 - MATH 1090 - NOVEMBER 29, 2002

MIKE ZABROCKI - SECTION C - MWF 3:30- 4:20

- (1) Determine whether each of the following is a theorem. If it is a theorem, prove it. If it is not a theorem, give an interpretation for which it is *false*. Assume that Px and Qx are expressions that depend on the variable x .

$$(\forall x | : Px \wedge Qx) \Rightarrow Px \wedge (\forall x | : Qx) .$$

$$(\forall x | : Px \vee Qx) \Rightarrow Px \vee (\forall x | : Qx) .$$

The first statement is a tautology. This is one way to prove it.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall x | : Px \wedge Qx) \\ = & \langle (8.15) \rangle \\ & (\forall x | : Px) \wedge (\forall x | : Qx) \\ = & \langle (3.39) \rangle \\ & true \wedge (\forall x | : Px) \wedge (\forall x | : Qx) \\ = & \langle (9.13) \rangle \\ & ((\forall x | : Px) \Rightarrow Px) \wedge (\forall x | : Px) \wedge (\forall x | : Qx) \\ = & \langle (3.66) \rangle \\ & Px \wedge (\forall x | : Px) \wedge (\forall x | : Qx) \\ \Rightarrow & \langle (3.76) (b) \rangle \\ & Px \wedge (\forall x | : Qx) \end{aligned}$$

The second statement is not always *true*. Here is one example of a Px and Qx for which this statement is *false*. Let the domain be the set of integers, $Px := (x = 0)$ and $Qx := (x \neq 0)$ and the free variable $x = 4$. Because $Px \vee Qx$ is *true* by (3.28), $(\forall x | : Px \vee Qx) \equiv true$ and for the particular example of $x = 4$, Px is *false* and $(\forall x | : Qx)$ is *false* so $((\forall x | : Px \vee Qx) \Rightarrow Px \vee (\forall x | : Qx)) \equiv false$.